arXiv:1403.3954v1 [math.MG] 16 Mar 2014

TITS RIGIDITY OF CAT(0) GROUP BOUNDARIES

KHEK LUN HAROLD CHAO AND ERIC SWENSON

Abstract. We define Tits rigidity for visual boundaries of CAT(0) groups, and prove that the join of two Cantor sets and its suspension are Tits rigid.

1. Introduction

A CAT(0) space X has two natural boundaries with the same underlying point set, the visual boundary, ∂X and the Tits boundary, $\partial_T X$. The obvious bijection from $\partial_T X$ to ∂X is continuous, but need not be a homeomorphism.

In the classical case where X is a Riemannian $n+1$-manifold of non-positive sectional curvature, then $\partial X = S^n$, so the visual boundary contains very little information (only the dimension). The Tits boundary, on the other hand, is much more interesting. For example the Tits boundary of $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ is also S^n, while the Tits boundary of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ is discrete. These are of course different for $n > 0$. Even in the case where $n = 2$, there are at least two other possible Tits boundaries: The Tits boundary of $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ is the spherical suspension of an uncountable discrete set; and the examples of Croke and Kleiner give the infamous eye of Sauran pattern. In this paper we examine the other extreme, where the visual topology dictates the Tits metric.

Suppose that X admits a geometric group action. Ruane showed in [7] that if ∂X is a suspension of Cantor set, then $\partial_T X$ is the spherical suspension of an uncountable discrete set. In [3] it is shown that if ∂X is the join of two Cantor sets, and if X admits a geometric action by a group G that contains $\mathbb{Z}^2$, then $\partial_T X$ is isometric to the spherical join of two uncountable discrete sets.

In this paper, we prove that the same result holds without the $\mathbb{Z}^2$ assumption on G. We use the action of ultrafilters over G on ∂X, whose properties were investigated in the paper [4]. We will also show that if ∂X is the suspension of a join of two Cantor sets, then $\partial_T X$ is

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C23,20F67,

This work was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (209403).

also the spherical suspension of the spherical join of two uncountable discrete sets. These results suggest the definition of Tits rigidity, and the above results can be rephrased into saying that the suspension of a Cantor set, the join of two Cantor sets and the suspension of the join of two Cantor sets are Tits rigid. On the other hand, a sphere of dimension $n > 0$ is not Tits rigid since, as we saw, $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ have different Tits boundaries.

The organization of this paper is as follows: This section reviews some basic notions and defines Tits rigidity; section 2 completes the proof that the join of two Cantor set is Tits rigid; and section 3 proves that the suspension of the join of two Cantor set is Tits rigid. We states some questions in section 4.

We refer the reader to [2] or [1] for more details.

Definition. For X a metric space, and I interval of $\mathbb{R}$, an isometric embedding $\alpha : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a geodesic. By abuse of notation we will also refer to the image of α as a geodesic.

Definition. For X a geodesic metric space and $\Delta(a, b, c)$ a geodesic triangle in X with vertices $a, b, c \in X$ there is an Euclidean *comparison* triangle $\bar{\Delta} = \Delta(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \subset \mathbb{E}^2$ with $d(a, b) = d(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$, $d(a, c) = d(\bar{a}, \bar{c})$ and $d(b, c) = d(\bar{b}, \bar{c})$. We define the comparison angle $\bar{\angle}_a(b, c) = \angle_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b}, \bar{c})$.

Each point $z \in \Delta(a, b, c)$ has a unique comparison point, $\bar{z} \in \bar{\Delta}$. We say that the triangle $\Delta(a, b, c)$ is CAT(0) if for any $y, z \in \Delta(a, b, c)$ with comparison points $\bar{y}, \bar{z} \in \bar{\Delta}$, $d(y, z) \leq d(\bar{y}, \bar{z})$. The space X is said to be CAT(0) if every geodesic triangle in X is CAT(0).

If X is CAT(0), notice that for any geodesics $\alpha : [0, r] \to X$ and $\beta : [0, s] \to X$ with $\alpha(0) = \beta(0) = a$, the function

$$\theta(r, s) = \bar{\angle}_a(\alpha(r), \beta(s))$$

is an increasing function of r, s. Thus $\lim_{r,s\to 0} \theta(r, s)$ exists and we call this limit $\angle_a(\alpha(r), \beta(s))$. It follows that for any $a, b, c \in X$, a CAT(0) space,

$$\angle_a(b, c) \leq \bar{\angle}_a(b, c).$$

Recall that a metric space is *proper* if closed metric balls are compact. Recall that an action by isometries of a group G on a space X is *geometric* if the action is properly discontinuous and cocompact.

For the duration, G will be a group and X a proper CAT(0) space on which G acts geometrically.

The (visual) boundary, ∂X, is the set of equivalence classes of rays, where rays are equivalent if they are within finite Hausdorff distance from each other. Given a ray R and a point $x \in X$, there is a ray S

emanating from x with $R \sim S$. Fixing a base point $\mathbf{0} \in X$, we define the visual topology on $\bar{X} = X \cup \partial X$ by taking the basic open sets of $x \in X$ to be the open metric balls about x. For $y \in \partial X$, and R a ray from $\mathbf{0}$ representing y, we construct basic open sets $U(R, n, \epsilon)$ where $n, \epsilon > 0$. We say $z \in U(R, n, \epsilon)$ if the unit speed geodesic, $S : [0, d(\mathbf{0}, z)] \to \bar{X}$, from $\mathbf{0}$ to z satisfies $d(R(n), S(n)) < \epsilon$. These sets form a basis for a regular topology on $\bar{X}$ and ∂X. For any $x \in X$ and $u, v \in \partial X$, we can define $\angle_x(u, v)$ and $\bar{\angle}_x(u, v)$ by parameterizing the rays $[x, u)$ and $[x, v)$ by $t \in [0, \infty)$ and taking the limit of $\bar{\angle}_x$ as $t \to 0$ and $t \to \infty$ respectively.

For $u, v \in \partial X$, we define $\angle(u, v) = \sup_{p \in X} \angle_p(u, v)$. It follows from [2] that $\angle(u, v) = \bar{\angle}_p(u, v)$ for any $p \in X$. Notice that isometries of X preserve the angle between points of ∂X. This defines a metric called the angle metric on the set ∂X. The angle metric defines a path metric, d_T on the set ∂X, called the Tits metric, whose topology is at least as fine as the visual topology of ∂X. Also $\angle(a, b)$ and $d_T(a, b)$ are equal whenever either of them is less than π. For any $u \in \partial X$, we define $B_T(u, \epsilon) = \{v \in \partial X : d_T(u, v) < \epsilon\}$ and $\bar{B}_T(u, \epsilon) = \{v \in \partial X : d_T(u, v) \leq \epsilon\}$.

The set ∂X with the Tits metric is called the Tits boundary of X, denoted $\partial_T X$. Isometries of X extend to isometries of $\partial_T X$.

The identity function $\partial_T X \to \partial X$ is continuous, but the identity function $\partial X \to \partial_T X$ is only lower semi-continuous. That is for any sequences $(u_n), (v_n) \subset \partial X$ with $u_n \to u$ and $v_n \to v$ in ∂X, then

$$\underline{\lim}\, d_T(u_n, v_n) \geq d_T(u, v)$$

Definition. A subgroup $H < G$ is called convex if there exists closed convex $A \subset X$ with H acting on A geometrically.

Definition. For $g \in G$, we define $\tau(g) = \inf_{x \in X} d(x, g(x))$. This minimum is realized and $\mathrm{Min}(g) = \{x \in X \,|\, d(x, g(x)) = \tau(g)\}$ is nonempty.

For any $g \in G$, the centralizer Z_g is a convex subgroup that acts geometrically on $\mathrm{Min}(g)$, which is closed and convex by [6],[2]. In fact if g is hyperbolic, then $\mathrm{Min}(g) = A \times Y$ where Y is a closed convex subset of X on which $Z_g/\langle g \rangle$ acts geometrically ([2],[8]), and A is an axis of g.

Definition. The boundary of a CAT(0) space will be called a CAT(0) boundary. If G is a group acting geometrically on a CAT(0) space X, then ∂X is called a CAT(0) boundary of G, or we say ∂X is a CAT(0) group boundary. In all cases a CAT(0) boundary comes equipped with

both the visual topology and the Tits metric (which normally gives a finer topology).

Definition. Let A and B be boundaries of CAT(0) spaces. A function $f : A \to B$ is called a *boundary isomorphism* if f is a homeomorphism in the visual topology and f is an isometry in the Tits metric. A function $g : A \to B$ is called a *boundary embedding* if g is a boundary isomorphism onto its image, where the metric on $g(A)$ is the restriction of the Tits metric.

Two boundaries of the same CAT(0) group need not be boundary isomorphic to each other or even homeomorphic to each other [**?**].

Definition. For $A \subset X$, ΛA is the set of limit points of A in ∂X. For $H < G$, $\Lambda H = Hx$ where Hx is the orbit of some $x \in X$ (this is independent of the choice of x).

Lemma 1. *Let Y be a closed convex subset of X. Inclusion of Y into X induces $\iota : \partial Y \to \Lambda Y$, a topological embedding of ∂Y in ∂X. Also ι is isometric on the angle metric. Furthermore if $\operatorname{diam} \partial_T Y \leq \pi$, then $\iota : \partial_T Y \to \partial_T X$ is a boundary embedding.*

Proof. Since the inclusion $Y \to X$ is isometric, geodesics in Y are geodesics in X, so by choosing a base point $y \in Y$, we have $\partial Y \subset \partial X$ which defines ι. Also for R a geodesic in Y from y, $U_X(R, n, \epsilon) \cap Y = U_Y(R, n, \epsilon)$, where U_X is the neighborhood in X and U_Y the neighborhood in Y. Thus $\iota : \partial Y \to \partial X$ is an embedding with image ΛY. Since Y is isometrically embedded in X, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda Y$, $\overline{\angle}_y(\alpha, \beta)$ is the same in both X and Y. It follows that ι is isometric on the angle metric, and so $\iota : \partial_T Y \to \partial_T X$ will be Lipschitz 1.

Now suppose $\operatorname{diam} \partial_T Y \leq \pi$. This implies that the set $S = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \partial Y \times \partial Y : d_T(\alpha, \beta) < \pi\}$ is dense in $\partial_T Y \times \partial_T Y$. Since the angle metric and the Tits metric are the same when either is less than π, $d_T \circ (\iota \times \iota) = d_T$ on S and it follows that $\iota : \partial_T Y \to \partial_T X$ is an isometric embedding. □

A line in the Euclidean plane gives an example of when ι is not a boundary embedding.

Definition. A compact metrizable space Y is said to be **Tits rigid**, if for any two CAT(0) group boundaries Z_1 and Z_2 homeomorphic to Y, Z_1 is boundary isomorphic to Z_2.

Definition. [2] For Y_1, Y_2 topological spaces we define their topological join $Y_1 * Y_2$ to be the quotient of $Y_1 \times Y_2 \times [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ modulo $(a, b, 0) \sim (a, c, 0)$ and $(a, c, \frac{\pi}{2}) \sim (b, c, \frac{\pi}{2})$. We will refer to $Y_1 \times Y_2 \times \{0\}$ as Y_1 and we will

refer to $Y_1 \times Y_2 \times \{\frac{\pi}{2}\}$ as Y_2. For fixed $y_i \in Y_i$, the arc $(y_1, y_2, t), t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ will be called the join arc from y_1 to y_2.

For Y_1 and Y_2 metric spaces with metrics bounded by π, the spherical join $Y_1 *_S Y_2$ is the point set $Y_1 * Y_2$ endowed with the metric

$$d((y_1, y_2, \theta), (y_1', y_2', \theta')) =$$
$$\arccos\left[\cos\theta\cos\theta'\cos(d(y_1, y_1')) + \sin\theta\sin\theta'\cos(d(y_2, y_2'))\right]$$

For Y, a topological space, we define the suspension $\sum Y$ to be the topological join of Y with $\{n, p\}$, a discrete two point set. In this setting we refer to the join arcs as suspension arcs. For Y a metric space with metric bounded by π, we define the spherical suspension $\sum_S Y$ to be the spherical join of Y with $\{n, p\}$ where $d(n, p)$ is defined to be π.

2. The Join of two Cantor sets is Tits rigid

Suppose that ∂X is topologically the suspension of two Cantor sets C_1 and C_2, so $\partial X \cong C_1 * C_2$. Replacing G with a subgroup of index at most 2, **we may assume that C_1 and C_2 are G invariant.** By [3] the action of G on X is not rank 1. Thus by [**?**], since ∂X is one dimensional, then $\operatorname{diam}(\partial_T X) \leq \frac{4\pi}{3}$. If $g \in G$ with $\{g^{\pm}\} \not\subset C_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, then we are done by [3]. Thus we may assume that there are infinitely many hyperbolic $g \in G$ with $\{g^{\pm}\} \subset C_1$. Let $\alpha = d_T(C_1, C_2)$.

By compactness, there will be points of C_1 and C_2 realizing this minimum. Since C_1 and C_2 are closed invariant subsets of ∂X, then for any $p \in \partial X$ and $i = 1, 2$, $d(p, C_i) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ by [**?**] so $\alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. For $a \in C_i$ and $b \in C_{3-i}$, let $\overline{ab}$ be the suspension arc from a to b. For any path γ in $\partial(X)$ let $\ell(\gamma)$ be the Tits length of the path γ (which may be ∞).

Lemma 2. *Let $a \in C_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $b \in C_{3-i}$. There exists $c \in C_{3-i} - \{b\}$ such that $\ell(\overline{ab}) + \ell(\overline{ac}) \leq \pi$.*

Proof. Suppose not, then for all $c \in C_{3-i} - \{b\}$, $\ell(\overline{ab}) + \ell(\overline{ac}) > \pi$.

First consider the case where $\ell(\overline{ab}) > \frac{\pi}{2}$. By lower semi-continuity, $d_T(a, C_{3-i}) + \ell(\overline{ab}) > \pi$. We can choose $p \in \overline{ab}$ with $\ell(\overline{pb}) > \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\overline{ap} + d_T(a, C_{3-i}) > \frac{\pi}{2}$. Thus $d(p, C_{3-i}) > \frac{\pi}{2}$, a contradiction.

Now consider the case where $\ell(\overline{ab}) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ (It follows that $\ell(\overline{ab}) = d_T(a, C_{3-i})$). Thus for any $c \in C_{3-i} - \{b\}$ there is a point $p \in \overline{ac}$ with $\ell(\overline{pc}) > \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\ell(\overline{ap}) + \ell(\overline{ab}) > \frac{\pi}{2}$. It follows that $d_T(p, C_{3-i}) > \frac{\pi}{2}$, a contradiction. □

We then get the following obvious consequence.

Corollary 3. *For any $a \in C_1$ and $b \in C_2$, $\ell\left(\overline{ab}\right) \leq \pi - \alpha$.*

Lemma 4. *Suppose for some $b \in C_i$ $i = 1, 2$, $d_T(b, C_{3-i}) > \alpha$. Then*

(1) $\alpha < \frac{\pi}{4}$
(2) $d_T(b, C_{3-i}) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha$
(3) $\ell(\overline{bc}) \leq \pi - 2\alpha - d_T(b, C_{3-i})$ *for all* $c \in C_{3-i}$.

Proof. The subset $A_i = \{a \in C_i | \, d_T(a, C_{3-i}) = \alpha\}$ is closed and G invariant. It follows that

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \geq d_T(b, A_i) \geq d_T(b, C_{3-i}) + d_T(C_{3-i}, A_i) = d_T(b, C_{3-i}) + \alpha$$

and we have (1) and (2).

Now let $c \in C_{3-i}$. If $\ell(\overline{bc}) > \pi - 2\alpha - d_T(b, C_{3-i})$, then there is a point $p \in \overline{bc}$ with $\ell(\overline{pc}) + \alpha > \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\ell(\overline{bp}) + d_t(b, C_{3-i}) + \alpha > \frac{\pi}{2}$. It follows that $d_T(p, A_i) > \frac{\pi}{2}$, a contradiction. □

Definition. Let βG be the set of all ultrafilters on G, and for $\omega \in \beta G$, and $z \in \partial X$, define $T^\omega(z) = \lim_{g \to \omega} g(z)$. Recall that for each U open set of ∂X with $T^\omega(z) \in U$, we have $\omega\{g \in G : \, g(z) \in U\} = 1$. Thus gives a function $T^\omega : \partial X \to \partial X$ which is not continuous (in general) but is Lipschitz 1 in the Tits metric (see [4]).

One might think that $\partial X - (C_1 \cup C_2)$ was invariant under T^ω, but this isn't a priori true. We do however have the following:

Theorem 5. *Let ω be an ultrafilter on G and $c_i \in C_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $\hat{c}_i = T^\omega(c_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $T^\omega(\overline{c_1 c_2}) = \overline{\hat{c}_1 \hat{c}_2}$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : C_1 \times C_2 \times [0, 1] \to C_1 * C_2$ be the quotient map. Since C_i is G invariant for $i = 1, 2$, $T^\omega(C_i) \subset C_i$.

Notice that for each $g \in G$, $g(\overline{c_1 c_2}) = \overline{g(c_1) g(c_2)}$. Suppose that for some $b \in [c_1, c_2]$, $\hat{b} = T^\omega(b) \notin \overline{\hat{c}_1 \hat{c}_2}$. Then there exists open neighborhood U_i of $\hat{c}_i$ in C_i, for $i = 1, 2$ and open $V \ni \hat{b}$ of $C_1 * C_2$ with $\pi(U_1 \times U_2 \times [0, 1]) \cap V = \emptyset$. Notice that $\omega\{g \in G : \, g(c_i) \subset U_i\} = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. However $\{g \in G : \, g(b) \in \pi(U_1 \times U_2 \times [0, 1])\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{2} \{g \in G : \, g(c_i) \subset U_i\}$ and so $\omega\{g \in G : \, g(b) \in \pi(U_1 \times U_2 \times [0, 1])\} = 1$. It follows that $\omega\{g \in G : \, g(b) \in V\} = 0$ which is a contradiction. It follows that $T^\omega(\overline{c_1 c_2}) \subseteq \overline{\hat{c}_1 \hat{c}_2}$. However by Lemma 2, $\ell(\overline{c_1 c_2}) \leq \pi$, so $\overline{c_1 c_2}$ is connected in the Tits metric, and since T^ω is Lipschitz on $\partial_T X$, $T^\omega(\overline{c_1 c_2})$ is connected and therefore $T^\omega(\overline{c_1 c_2}) = \overline{\hat{c}_1 \hat{c}_2}$.

□

Lemma 6. *Let $g \in G$ hyperbolic with $\{g^\pm\} \subset C_1$. If $\alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$, then there are infinitely many $c \in C_2$ with $\ell(\overline{g^+ c}) = d_T(g^+, C_2)$.*

Proof. By lower semi-continuity, there exists $c \in C_2$ with $\ell(\overline{g^+c}) = d_T(g^+, C_2)$. If any positive power of g fixes c, then by [8], there is $\mathbb{Z}^2 < G$ and by [3] $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Thus the $\langle g \rangle$ orbit of c is infinite, and all points b in this orbit satisfy $\ell(\overline{g^+b}) = d_T(g^+, C_2)$. □

Theorem 7. $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Proof. We assume $\alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Case I: For any $a \in C_1$, $d_T(a, C_2) = \alpha$. Using Lemma 6 there exists $b \in C_1$ and distinct $c, d \in C_2$ with $\ell\left(\overline{bc}\right) = \alpha = \ell\left(\overline{bd}\right)$. Choose $a \neq b$, with $a \in C_1$ and then choose $e \in C_2$ with $\ell\left(\overline{ae}\right) = \alpha$. By Corollary 3, $\ell\left(\overline{ac}\right), \ell\left(\overline{ad}\right), \ell\left(\overline{be}\right) \leq \pi - \alpha$. Each of the loops $aebd$, $aebc$ and $adbc$ which is non-trivial must have length at least 2π. It follows that $\ell\left(\overline{ac}\right), \ell\left(\overline{ad}\right), \ell\left(\overline{be}\right) = \pi - \alpha$. Let m be the midpoint of the segment $\overline{bc}$. Let $\omega \in \beta G$ be an ultrafilter pulling from m. Let $T^\omega(a) = \hat{a}$, $T^\omega(b) = \hat{b}$, $T^\omega(c) = \hat{c}$, $T^\omega(d) = \hat{d}$, $T^\omega(e) = \hat{e}$, and $T^\omega(m) = \hat{m}$. By [4], T^ω is an isometry on each Tits segment of length at most π from m and $T^\omega(\partial X)$ is contained in the set of all Tits geodesics of length π from $\hat{m}$ to some point $\hat{p} \in \partial X$. Since these geodesics can branch only at $\hat{m}$ and $\hat{p}$, it follows $T^\omega\left(\overline{bd}\right) \subset T^\omega\left(\overline{be}\right)$. However by Theorem 5, $T^\omega\left(\overline{bd}\right) = \overline{\hat{b}\hat{d}}$ and $T^\omega\left(\overline{be}\right) = \overline{\hat{b}\hat{e}}$. Thus $\overline{\hat{b}\hat{d}} \subset \overline{\hat{b}\hat{e}}$ and it follows by definition that $\hat{d} = \hat{e}$.



FIGURE 1. Proof of Theorem 7

Since T^ω is an isometry on any Tits segment from m of length at most π, and $d_T(c, m) < d_T(d, m) \leq \pi$, $\hat{c} \neq \hat{d}$ and similarly $\hat{a} \neq \hat{b}$.

Thus the loop $\hat{a}\hat{c}\hat{b}\hat{d}$ is nontrivial. Since T^ω is Lipschitz with constant one on the Tits metric, then $\ell\left(\overline{\hat{b}\hat{d}}\right) = \alpha = \ell\left(\overline{\hat{b}\hat{c}}\right)$. Since $\hat{e} = \hat{d}$, $\ell\left(\overline{\hat{a}\hat{d}}\right) = \alpha$ and finally $\ell\left(\overline{\hat{a}\hat{c}}\right) \leq \pi - \alpha$. Thus the nontrivial loop $\hat{a}\hat{c}\hat{b}\hat{d}$ has $\ell(\hat{a}\hat{c}\hat{b}\hat{d}) \leq 3\alpha + \pi - \alpha = \pi + 2\alpha < 2\pi$ since $\alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$. This is a contradiction.

Case II: There is $a \in C_1$ with $d_T(a, C_2) > \alpha$. Let $g \in G$ a hyperbolic with $\{g^\pm\} \subset C_1$, and let $b = g^+$ and $\beta = d_T(b, C_2)$. Notice by Lemma 4, $\beta < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Using Lemma 6 there are distinct $c, d \in C_2$ with $\ell\left(\overline{bc}\right) = \beta = \ell\left(\overline{bd}\right)$. Choose $a \neq b$, with $a \in C_1$ and then choose $e \in C_2$ with $\ell\left(\overline{ae}\right) = \alpha$. We now proceed as in Case I pulling from m the mid point of $\overline{bc}$. We obtain as before a nontrivial loop $\hat{a}\hat{c}\hat{b}\hat{d}$. However this time we have $\ell\left(\overline{\hat{b}\hat{d}}\right), \ell\left(\overline{\hat{b}\hat{c}}\right) \leq \beta$. Arguing as in Case I, $\ell\left(\overline{\hat{a}\hat{d}}\right) = \alpha$ and $\ell\left(\overline{\hat{a}\hat{c}}\right) \leq \pi - \alpha$. Thus the nontrivial loop $\hat{a}\hat{c}\hat{b}\hat{d}$ has $\ell(\hat{a}\hat{c}\hat{b}\hat{d}) \leq 2\beta + \alpha + \pi - \alpha = \pi + 2\beta < 2\pi$ since $\beta < \frac{\pi}{2}$. and we have the same contradiction as before. □

Theorem 8. *The join of two cantor sets is Tits rigid.*

Proof. By Theorem 7, $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and so by Corollary 3, for any $a \in C_1$ and $b \in C_2$, $\ell\left(\overline{ab}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Let $\hat{Z} = C_1 *_S C_2$ be the spherical join where the metric on C_1 is always π for distinct points and similarly for C_2, so both are discrete as metric spaces. Let $Z = C_1 * C_2$ be the topological join (Notice that C_1 and C_2 are not discrete here). Notice that as point sets, $\hat{Z} = Z$.

Define $\Phi : \hat{Z} \to \partial_T X$ by Φ being the identity on C_1 and C_2 and $\Phi(c_1, c_2, t) = x$ where $x \in \overline{c_1 c_2}$ with $d_T(c_1, x) = t$. Note that Φ is an isometry. We must show that $\Phi : Z \to \partial X$ is a homeomorphism (same point sets, different topologies). Since Z is compact and Φ is a bijection, it suffices to show that Φ is continuous. Let $(z_k) \subset Z$ and with $z_k \to z$. Pulling back to the product, we have $z = (a, b, t)$ and $z_k = (a_k, b_k, t_k)$ where $a, a_k \in C_1$, $b, b_k \in C_2$ and $t, t_k \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.

We will show that $\Phi(z_k) \to \Phi(z)$. For $t = 0$, $\Phi(z) = a$. Consider the sequence $(a_k) \subset C_1 \subset \partial X$. Since $a_k \to a$ and $d_T(a_k, \Phi(z_k)) = t_k$ by definition, then $\Phi(z_k) \to a = \Phi(z)$ by lower semi-continuity of the Tits metric. Similarly if $t = \frac{\pi}{2}$. When $t \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ then $a_k \to a$ and $b_k \to b$ (not true in the other two cases). By Theorem 5, any cluster point p of $(\Phi(z_k))$ lies on the suspension arc $\overline{ab}$. By lower semi-continuity, $d_T(p, C_1) \leq t$ and $d_T(p, C_2) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - t$. It follows that $d_T(p, C_1) = t$ and so $p = \Phi(z)$ so $\Phi(z_k) \to \Phi(z)$. Thus Φ is a homeomorphism and a Tits isometry.

For any two such CAT(0) group boundaries, we get the boundary isomorphism by composing the "Φ" from one with the "Φ^{-1}" of the other.

□

3. Suspension of the join of two Cantor sets

We have proven that the join of two Cantor sets C_1 and C_2 is Tits rigid. We want to prove that the suspension of it, i.e. $\sum(C_1 * C_2)$, is also Tits rigid. We first need a result from dimension theory. We will use inductive dimension, which is equivalent to covering dimension in our setting.

We define $\dim \emptyset = -1$. For a point $z \in Z$, Z has dimension $\leq k$ at p if for any neighborhood U of z there is a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of z with $\dim \partial V \leq k-1$. Z has dimension $\leq k$ if Z has dimension $\leq k$ at each point.

Lemma 9. *If Z is a compact metrizable space of dimension k, then the suspension of Z, $\sum Z$ has dimension $k+1$.*

Proof. Since Z is compact and $(0,1)$ is one dimensional then by [5, page 34], $\dim [Z \times (0,1)] = \dim Z + \dim (0,1) = k+1$. So $Z \times (0,1)$ has dimension $\leq k+1$ at each point with equality at at least one point. Thus $\sum Z$ has dimension $\leq k+1$ at each point with possible exceptions the suspension points p and n.

Every neighborhood U of p will contain a cone neighborhood V of p with $\partial V \cong Z$. Thus the dimension of $\sum Z$ at p is at most $\dim Z + 1 = k+1$ and similarly for n. Since $\sum Z$ has dimension $\leq k+1$ at each point with equality at at least one point, $\dim \sum Z = k+1$. □

We now prove a result on the fixed point set of the group action on the boundary ∂X.

Lemma 10. *Let G be a group acting geometrically on a CAT(0) space X. If G has a global fixed point p, then there is a closed convex quasi-dense $\hat{X} \subset X$ with $\hat{X} = \mathbb{R} \times Y$ where Y is a closed convex subset of $\hat{X}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ is an axis of a central element of G.*

Proof. The group G is finitely generated by $g_1, \ldots g_k$. By [6], for each i, $p \in \mathrm{Fix}(g_i) = \Lambda \mathrm{Min}\,(g_i) = \Lambda Z_{g_i}$. By [8]

$$p \in \cap \Lambda Z_{g_i} = \Lambda \left[\cap Z_{g_i}\right] = \Lambda Z_G$$

and since Z_G is convex by [8], Z_G contains an element of infinite order g. By [6], g acts trivially on ∂X, so $\partial X = \mathrm{Fix}(g) = \Lambda \mathrm{Min}\,(g)$. We now let $\hat{X} = \mathrm{Min}\,(g)$ and apply [2, II 6.8]. □

Proposition 11. *Let X be a CAT(0) space, and G be a group acting geometrically on X. The set A of points virtually fixed by G on the boundary is a Tits sphere, and $\partial X = A * Z$ and $\partial_T X = A *_S Z$ where Z is a compact subset of ∂X.*

Proof. If A is non-empty, then passing to a subgroup of finite index, we may assume that the set of global fixed points of G is non-empty. By Lemma 10 there exists a hyperbolic element $h \in Z_G$ with endpoints $\{n, p\} \subset A$, and X contains a quasi-dense subspace which is a product of an axis of h with X_1, where X_1 is a closed convex subspace on which $G/\langle h \rangle$ (see [8]) acts geometrically. Thus $\partial X \cong \{n, p\} * \partial X_1 = \sum \partial X_1$, and $\partial_T X = \{n, p\} *_S \partial_T X_1 = \sum_S \partial_T X_1$.

Suppose $\dim \partial X = k$ ($< \infty$ by [8]). We proceed by induction on k. For $k = 0$, either $A = \varnothing$, or ∂X is a 0-sphere, because the 0-sphere is the only 0-dimensional space which is a suspension (of the empty set). In the latter case, $A = \partial X$ is a 0-sphere.

Assume the result holds for dimension $k-1$. Let ∂X be k-dimensional. If A is empty, there is nothing to prove; if not, then X contains a quasi-dense subspace $\mathbb{R} \times X_1$, with $\partial X = \{n, p\} * \partial X_1$ and $\partial_T X = \{n, p\} *_S \partial_T X_1$.

Since $\partial X_1 \subset \partial X$, ∂X_1 is finite dimensional and by Lemma 9, $\dim \partial X_1 = k - 1$. Applying the result to X_1 with geometric action by $G/\langle h \rangle$, then the set A_1 of all points virtually fixed by $G/\langle h \rangle$ on ∂X_1 is a Tits sphere. Also $\partial X_1 = A_1 * Z_1$ and $\partial_T X_1 = A_1 *_S Z_1$where Z_1 is a compact subset of ∂X_1. Any point virtually fixed by G in $A - \{n, p\}$ lies on a suspension arc through a unique point q in ∂X_1. Thus q is virtually fixed by G, and also by $G/\langle h \rangle$, so $q \in A_1$. It follows that A is the spherical join of $\{n, p\}$ with A_1, and so A is a Tits sphere in $\partial_T X$ and

$$\partial X = \{n, p\} * (A_1 * Z_1) = [\{n, p\} * A_1] * Z_1 = A * Z_1$$

with the same equalities for the spherical joins. □

Corollary 12. *Let X be a CAT(0) space, and G be a group acting geometrically on X. Suppose that $\{n, p\}$ are points on ∂X such that all homeomorphisms of ∂X stabilize $\{n, p\}$, then the points n and p are the only virtually fixed points of G, and there is a closed convex $Y \subset X$ and R a geodesic line in X satisfying:*

- *R is a line from n to p;*
- *There is a closed convex quasi-dense subset $\hat{X} \subset X$ with $\hat{X}$ decomposing as $Y \times R$;*
- *The CAT(0) space Y admits a geometric action.*

Proof. G virtually fixes the points n and p, so $n, p \in A$, the sphere of points virtually fixed by G and $\partial X = A * Z$ for some closed subset

of Z of ∂X. If $A \neq \{n, p\}$, then since any homeomorphism of A with the identity map on Z induces an homeomorphism on their join, there would be homeomorphisms of ∂X that do not stabilize $\{n, p\}$, which contradicts the assumption. So $A = \{n, p\}$ as required. By Lemma 10 we get a closed convex quasi-dense $\hat{X} \subset X$ where $\hat{X} = Y \times R$ where R is the axis of a central $g \in Z_G$. Notice since n and p are the only virtually fixed points of G and the endpoints of R will be virtually fixed by G, then n and p are the endpoints of R. Also $G/\langle g \rangle$ will act geometrically on Y by [8]. □

We need the following characterization of an arc.

Theorem 13 (Moore)**.** *Let A be a compact connected metric space. If A has exactly two non cut points, then A is an arc.*

Lemma 14. *Let Y be the join of two cantor sets C_1 and C_2. Then the suspension point set $\{n, p\}$ is preserved by homeomorphisms of $\sum Y$. If $\sum Y$ is also a suspension of a subspace Z, then n and p are the Z-suspension points as well and Z is isotopic to Y in $\sum Y$.*

Proof. The suspension arcs of $\sum Y$ will be called Y-suspension arcs. Similarly we will call the suspension arc of the Z suspension structure Z-suspension arcs. We partition $\sum Y$ by the local topology.

- The suspension points $\{n, p\}$ which have a neighborhood basis consisting of cone neighborhoods (cones on Y of course), so $\sum Y$ is locally connected at n and p.
- $\mathcal{C} = [\sum C_1 \cup \sum C_2] - \{n, p\}$ (the union of the open Y-suspension arcs running through C_1 and C_2). $\sum Y$ is not locally connected at these points. For $p \in \mathcal{C}$ and U a neighborhood of p, the component of U containing p is never a 2 manifold (it always contains a topological tri-plane)
- $\mathcal{D} = \sum Y - [\sum C_1 \cup \sum C_2]$. $\sum Y$ is not locally connected at these points. For $p \in \mathcal{D}$, for U a sufficiently small neighborhood of p, the component of p in U will be homeomorphic to an open subset of a disk.

This means that the suspension points of the Z-suspension are also $\{n, p\}$ and that this set is fixed by every homeomorphsim of Y.

Since we can isotop up and down suspension arcs, if α is an open Z-suspension arc and $\alpha \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$, then $\alpha \subset \mathcal{C}$. (Similarly if $\alpha \cap \mathcal{D} \neq \emptyset$ then $\alpha \subset \mathcal{D}$.) It follows that for each $c \in C_1 \cup C_2$, the Y-suspension arc through c will be a Z-suspension arc as well. Let $c_1 \in C_1$ and $c_2 \in C_2$. Let $\beta \subset C_1 * C_2$ be the join arc from c_1 to c_2. The disk $D = \sum \beta \subset \sum (C_1 * C_2) = Y$ has boundary α_1 and α_2, the (Y and

Z)-suspension arcs throughout c_1 and c_2 respectively. Let $\omega = Z \cap D$. Since α_i is a Z-suspension arc, $\alpha_i \cap \omega$ is a single point z_i (for $i = 1, 2$). We will show that ω is an arc by showing that ω is connected and that z_1, z_2 are the only non cut points of ω.

Open Z-suspension arcs are disjoint, so $D = \sum \omega$. Since $D - \{n, p\} \cong \omega \times I$ (where I is a open interval) is connected, ω is connected. Notice that $\sum[\omega - \{z_i\}] - \{n, p\} \cong [D - \alpha_i]$ which is connected and so $\omega - \{z_i\}$ is connected. Let $z \in \omega$ with $z \neq z_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus $z \in \operatorname{Int} D$ and so the Z-suspension arc $\gamma \subset D$ and $\gamma \cap \partial D = \{n, p\}$ since γ cannot cross α_i. It follows that $D - \gamma$ is not connected. Since $D - \gamma \cong [\omega - \{z\}] \times I$ it follows that $\omega - \{z\}$ is not connected. Thus z is a cut point of ω, and by Theorem 13, ω is an arc.

Notice that D admits a PL structure as a square with vertices n, c_1, p, c_2 and so that the map from $\overline{c_i n}$ and $\overline{c_i, p}$ into D is an isometry, and we can do this in a canonical way for all such D. We isotope ω to the line segment $\hat{\omega}$ in D from z_1 to z_2 with the isotopy fixing ∂D. We can do this for each such D at the same time. We call the image Z under this isotopy $\hat{Z}$ which is a union of straight line segments in each of our squares.

Now for each $c \in C_1 \cup C_2$, with z be the unique point of Z on the Y-suspension arc α through c, we choose an isotopy of α fixing n and p which takes z to c. We do these simultaneously and extend linearly on corresponding squares. This gives us an isotopy from $\hat{Z}$ to Y in $\sum Y$. □

Theorem 15. *The suspension of the join of two cantor sets is Tits rigid.*

Proof. Let G be a group acting geometrically on the CAT(0) space X with $\partial X \cong \sum[C_1 * C_2]$ where C_1 and C_2 are Cantor sets. We will show that there is a isometry from $\iota : \partial_T X \to \sum_S [C_1 *_S C_2]$ such that ι is a homeomorphsim from ∂X to $\sum[C_1 * C_2]$.

By Lemma 14 every homeomorphism of ∂X fixes the suspension point set $\{n, p\}$. Thus by Corollary 12, there exits closed convex quasi-dense $\hat{X} \subset X$ with $\hat{X} = Y \times R$ where Y is closed and convex in X and R is a geodesic line from n to p. Also Y admits a geometric action. Now $\partial X = \sum \Lambda Y$ and $\partial_T X = \sum_S \Lambda Y$. By Lemma 14, ΛY is the join of two cantor sets. By Lemma 1, ∂Y is is the join of two cantor sets. By Theorem 8, $\partial_T Y$ is the spherical join of two cantor sets B_1 and B_2 where ∂Y is the topological join of B_1 and B_2. By Lemma 1, in the restriction Tits metric, $\Lambda Y \cong B_1 *_S B_2$. Thus $\partial_T X$ is isometric to $\sum_S B_1 *_S B_2$ and this gives ι as required.

□

4. Further questions

We would recklessly conjecture that a boundary is Tits rigid if and only if it doesn't have a circle as a join factor. Clearly the circle is not Tits rigid and all higher dimensional spheres will have a circle as a join factor. Thus every known non-Tits rigid space has a circle as a join factor. Furthermore if we have a boundary $Z \cong S^1 * Y$ where Y *is also a boundary*, we see taking products that Z will not be Tits rigid.

The first step is to prove more boundaries that are Tits rigid. Possible candidates include the n-fold join of Cantor sets and their suspensions, or more generally, boundaries of CAT(0) cube complexes with certain properties. Visual boundaries of universal covers of Salvetti complexes of right-angled Artin groups may be a source of examples, because the known examples can be realized as such. We also imagine that spherical buildings are Tits rigid, but have not examined this at all.

The known Tits rigid boundaries have proper closed invariant subsets, except the Cantor set and the set with two points. This may be a common property for other Tits rigid boundaries. For those that do have closed invariant subsets, is it true that a Tits rigid boundary always has some closed invariant subset which is also Tits rigid with the induced topology?

Also, for a Tits rigid boundary that is not a suspension, is the suspension of this boundary is also Tits rigid? Corollary 12 is a partial result. The difficulty lies in the fact that there may be non-homeomorphic topological spaces with homeomorphic joins, an example is given by the Double Suspension Theorem of Cannon and Edwards.

In every known Tits rigid boundary, the topology of the Tits boundary resembles the visual topology in the best possible way, i.e. all the paths in the visual topology are still paths in the Tits boundary. We suspect that this may be the case for other Tits rigid boundary as well, although this should become much harder to show even for particular cases when the dimension of the visual boundary is at least two.

Recall that a CAT(0) group is rigid if it corresponds to a unique visual boundary up to homeomorphism. There might be non-rigid CAT(0) groups corresponding to some Tits rigid boundaries. Boundaries of known non-rigid CAT(0) groups are similar, in the sense that they are shape equivalent by a result of Bestvina. If one of them is Tits rigid, should every other visual boundary of the same group be Tits rigid too because of their similarity?

References

[1] W. Ballmann *Lectures on Spaces of Nonpositive Curvature* DMV Semminar, Band 25, Birkhaeuser, 1995.

[2] M. Bridson and A. Haefliger *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature* Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 319. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. xxii+643 pp. ISBN: 3-540-64324-9

[3] K. Chao *CAT(0) spaces with boundary the join of two Cantor sets* Accepted by Algebraic and Geometric Topology.

[4] D. Guralnik and E. Swenson *A 'transversal' for minimal invariant sets in the boundary of a CAT(0) group* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 365 (2013), 3069–3095.

[5] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman *Dimension Theory* Princeton University Press 1948.

[6] K. Ruane, *Dynamics of the action of a* CAT(0) *group on the boundary*, Geom. Dedicata 84 (2001), no. 1-3, 81–99.

[7] Kim E. Ruane. CAT(0) groups with specified boundary. *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*, 6:633–649, 2006.

[8] E. Swenson, *A cut point theorem for* CAT(0) *groups*, J. Differential Geom. 53 (1999), no. 2, 327–358

E-mail address: khchao@indiana.edu
E-mail address: eric@math.byu.edu

(Khek Lun Harold Chao) Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405

(Eric Swenson) Mathematics Department, Brigham Young University, Provo UT 84602